Case 1:19-cr-00064-GHW Document 67 Filed 08/06/28 Page 1 of 2

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:____
DATE FILED: 8/6/2020

From: Matthew R. Lee <innercitypress@gmail.com> Sent: Wednesday, August 5, 2020 10:28:04 PM

To: Woods NYSD Chambers < WoodsNYSDChambers@nysd.uscourts.gov > Cc: carvlin@hotmail.com < carvlin@hotmail.com >; daniel.richenthal@usdoi.gov

<daniel.richenthal@usdoj.gov>

Subject: Press Access to correspondence submitted by defendant in US v. Edwards, 19-cr-64

(GHW) - second timely response to June 22 order, both should bedocketed

August 5, 2020

By E-mail to WoodsNYSDChambers@nysd.uscourts.gov Hon. Gregory H. Woods, United States District Judge Southern District of New York, 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007

Re: Press Access to correspondence submitted by defendant in US v. Edwards, 19-cr-64 (GHW) - second timely response to June 22 order, both should be docketed Dear Judge Woods:

I write for a second time pursuant to your July 22, 2020 order and in further support of the July 21 application for press and public access to submissions to this Court by defendant Natalie Mayflower Sours Edwards, that triggered a judicial conference. They are judicial documents, contrary to the July 30 opposition submitted by Edwards' counsel.

On August 4 Inner City and I emailed to your Chambers our reply. Twenty four hours later, it is not docketed. So, first, this is a formal request that our responses to Edwards' counsel's submission be docketed as hers was, as Docket No. 65.

Second, in further opposition to the withdrawal / disappearance of what Ms. Edwards submitted to this Court triggering the conference(s), since for now we cannot know of what it consisted, consider that the following is already in the public record, citing Yemen, China, Clinton, Iran: https://twitter.com/innercitypress/status/1291186878918647808/photo/1 and https://twitter.com/innercitypress/status/1291186878918647808/photo/2

Furthermore, even what is characterized as attorney - client is of public interest, including because after having know to be well compensated counsel from Brafman & Associates, now Ms. Edwards has taxpayer funded CJA counsel. Was she paying Brafman & Associates? Or was someone else paying?

We had hoped for docketing and ruling on the judicial documents issue without getting into the specifics of press interest, which as in FOIA litigation should not be inquired into be a court of government agency. But given the August 6, 5 pm deadline set by this Court, we submit this on August 5. Please confirm receipt, and docket. Thank you.

Respectfully submitted,

Matthew Russell Lee, Inner City Press

Inner City Press

August 5, 2020

By E-mail to WoodsNYSDChambers@nysd.uscourts.gov

Hon. Gregory H. Woods, United States District Judge Southern District of New York, 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007

Re: Press Access to correspondence submitted by defendant in US v. Edwards, 19-cr-64 (GHW) - second timely response to June 22 order, both should be docketed Dear Judge Woods:

I write for a second time pursuant to your July 22, 2020 order and in further support of the July 21 application for press and public access to submissions to this Court by defendant Natalie Mayflower Sours Edwards, that triggered a judicial conference. They are judicial documents, contrary to the July 30 opposition submitted by Edwards' counsel.

On August 4 Inner City and I emailed to your Chambers our reply. Twenty four hours later, it is not docketed. So, first, this is a formal request that our responses to Edwards' counsel's submission be docketed as hers was, as Docket No. 65.

Second, in further opposition to the withdrawal / disappearance of what Ms. Edwards submitted to this Court triggering the conference(s), since for now we cannot know of what it consisted, consider that the following is already in the public record, citing Yemen, China, Clinton, Iran: https://twitter.com/innercitypress/status/1291186878918647808/photo/1 and https://twitter.com/innercitypress/status/1291186878918647808/photo/2

Furthermore, even what is characterized as attorney - client is of public interest, including because after having know to be well compensated counsel from Brafman & Associates, now Ms. Edwards has taxpayer funded CJA counsel. Was she paying Brafman & Associates? Or was someone else paying?

We had hoped for docketing and ruling on the judicial documents issue without getting into the specifics of press interest, which as in FOIA litigation should not be inquired into be a court of government agency. But given the August 6, 5 pm deadline set by this Court, we submit this on August 5. Please confirm receipt, and docket. Thank you.

Respectfully submitted,

Matthew Russell Lee, Inner City Press

Inner City Press: In-house SDNY: Room 480, 500 Pearl Street, NY NY 10007

E-mail: Matthew.Lee@innercitypress.com - Tel: 718-716-3540

Regular Mail: Dag Hammarskjold Center, Box 20047, New York, NY 10017